1	Q Well, you'll notice the first sentence makes a
2	reference to March 8.
3	A Yes.
4	Q What did you have before you, if you can recall,
5	when you were preparing this answer?
6	A I think I had a copy of the application that we had
7	filed, which is where I reported that date.
8	Q Are you telling us now that the March 8 date is not
9	what you meant, but you really meant to say March 18?
10	A I believe it is.
11	Q In other words, you were preparing a document that
12	bears a date of March 16 and you were saying in that document
13	that something had happened on March 18?
14	A Well, when I had gone to the contractor's
15	location I think it was the Wednesday of that week I was
16	told it was on it was going to be sent that week, during
17	that week, and in preparing that and thinking ahead again,
18	my, my thinking was in terms of April 6th and not March 16th.
19	In other words, at the time that the current construction
20	permit was going to expire. So I, I, I thought I had reason
21	to believe that the tower was going to be on the sight,
22	certainly, by that time, and probably erected.
23	Q What arrangements had you made for delivery of the
24	tower? Who was supposed to take delivery of the tower?
25	A Well, I thought that I had had them go to the

1 |attorney's office, and I have since had conversation with the

- 2 attorneys over there, and they deny that, that anybody
- 3 approached them about the problem, or about the tower
- 4 delivery, and we came to the conclusion that because I had
- 5 been using a storeroom facility up at the motel on the other
- 6 end of town, and the interest of the motel owner in our
- 7 operation, I had probably directed them up there, if that
- 8 would have been a -- somebody who would have recognized what
- 9 we had and would have been able to give them a place to put it
- 10 for the time being until we could get over there and take it
- 11 out to the site.
- 12 Q Now you are just quessing here, aren't you?
- 13 A I am -- at this point purely speculation because
- 14 | that's the only thing I can conclude.
- 15 Q Well, what I'm asking you is if you remember who, if
- 16 anyone, you told in Chatom that a tower was coming.
- 17 A I, I thought at the time I had told the people at
- 18 the law office that I was going to send it to their attention.
- 19 Q All right, now, was it your direction to people at
- 20 | the law office that they were supposed to do something with
- 21 the tower when it arrived?
- 22 A Primarily, whoever I had made the arrangement with,
- 23 | I think I had made arrangements for them to put it on their
- 24 property temporarily for a matter of a week, something like
- 25 that.

Q All right, so your recollection is that someone from the firm was supposed to take these tower pieces and have them placed on his property for a week?

A You have to understand that the law firm in Chatom, Alabama, is a, is a single story structure on a rather large piece of land, and there's a considerable amount of wooded area behind there building. It's part of their property.

Q Oh, so this was going to go -- the tower was going to go on the law firm's property?

10 A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- 11 Q All right. Now --
- A I, I believe that's what I had, had instructed to
 have happened. I don't think that that's -- in retrospect and
 understanding, no, I don't think that's what happened. I
 think it got directed up to the motel.
- Q What instructions, if any, had you given the contractor in terms of where the contractor was supposed to go with the tower?
- 19 A I, I probably directed him, in fact --
- JUDGE LUTON: "Probably." Your answers all seem to
- 21 be --
- 22 WITNESS: Yes, they are.
- 23 JUDGE LUTON: I'm not sure whether you're
- 24 speaking from, from knowledge, recollection, or whether you're
- 25 putting things together in a fashion thought by you to be

1	logical, or just what is going on here.
2	WITNESS: Okay.
3	JUDGE LUTON: Don't speculate. Just answer the
4	questions that are asked to the best of your ability, and then
5	stop.
6	WITNESS: I believe at the time I had directed the
7	contractor to take it to the law office.
8	BY MR. SHOOK:
9	Q That's your recollection.
10	A That's my recollection.
11	Q And do you have a further recollection that you
12	contacted somebody at the law office, who in turn was supposed
13	to take delivery of the tower pieces?
14	A That's what I recollected at the time.
15	Q And the law firm in turn was supposed to use its
16	property to store the tower pieces until some date in the
17	future.
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q What was supposed to happen with the tower pieces
20	after that time period of storage passed?
21	A I had contacted Breits Tower Service in Miami, who
22	was going to come to Chatom and erect the tower, and further
23	down in this testimony and so forth, where we file with the
24	FAA for approval to go ahead and construct, and he was going
25	to come and pick up the tower sections from where they were,

1	take them	out to the site, and build the tower.
2	Q	How much was that going to cost you?
3	A	I think the estimate was something like 5000.
4	Q	Did you have a written contract, or an agreement of
5	any kind,	with Breit?
6	A	Just, just a handshake agreement. He's my neighbor.
7	I've know	n him for 30 years.
8	Q	So your neighbor is supposed to drive up to Chatom
9	and then p	out the tower together.
10	A	He agreed to do so.
11	Q	Now please turn to Attachment 56. Do you
12	recognize	this document?
13	A	I certainly do.
14	Q	Did you sign the original of it on March 16?
15	A	I did.
16	Q	Was the document completed on March 16?
17	A	In total?
18	Q	Yes, sir.
19	A	Over days before that but it was mailed on that date
20	or about	that date.
21		JUDGE LUTON: What is the document? You said you
22	recognized	d it. Tell us what it is.
23		WITNESS: Oh, it's a application for extension of
24	construct	ion permit, and it's a Form 701 which was filed for
25	on behalf	WCCJ for extension of time to construct the station.

1	JUDGE LUTON: Thank you.
2	BY MR. SHOOK:
3	Q Do you know approximately when you mailed this
4	document, how many days after March 16, or was it mailed on
5	March 16 to the Commission?
6	A I don't recall specifically what date. It was
7	within that calendar week. It may have been a Saturday, it
8	may have been Friday, but it was within a day or two over the
9	time that it was prepared.
10	Q Subsequent to your signing the document, did you
11	alter the document in any way?
12	A Did I alter the document?
13	Q Yes, sir.
14	A No, I don't believe so.
15	Q In other words, once you signed it and it's dated
16	March 16, you were finished with it.
17	A I was finished with it. The, the signature page is
18	the last one I do when I prepare an application like this and
19	submit it to the copying the machine, either before or after
20	signature but generally around that time, and from there it's
21	ready to go as far as I'm concerned.
22	Q All right, please turn to what is called Exhibit 1
23	of that application. With respect to the power divider that is
24	referenced in the second paragraph, was it actually shipped to
25	Jampro?

1	A No, it was never actually shipped to Jampro. It was
2	prepared for shipment but we aborted the shipment.
3	Q Well, is there any particular reason why you wrote
4	that the power divider was shipped to Jampro in mid-March for
5	repair and is to be returned in 6 weeks?
6	A I had had conversations with Jampro about the
7	modifications necessary. They gave me a time frame which
8	later I determined to be unacceptable. The this
9	application was prepared before the shipment, but the shipment
10	was ready to go. In other words, when it when I prepared
11	the application and mailed it in, I believe I had intended,
12	like, the following Monday to have it picked up. It was in a
13	crate. It was ready to be shipped to Jampro, so the
14	application went in a day or two before the actual shipment
15	was supposed to take place.
16	Q So, in other words, as of March 16, it would have
17	been accurate to say you intended to ship
18	A I intended
19	Q the power divider?
20	A I intended. It was sitting at the doorstep ready
21	for
22	JUDGE LUTON: Why didn't you say that?
23	WITNESS: I'm sorry, sir?
24	JUDGE LUTON: Why didn't you say that you intended
25	that it be shipped? Why did you say that it had in fact been

done when to this day, as I'm understanding it, it was never done?

WITNESS: It was never done. I've tried to relate all along that I was looking at the date of April 6th as opposed to March 16th as my reference, and I had certain events which I really had every reason to believe were going to take place, and I had reported them that way, which I believe now was definitely in error.

JUDGE LUTON: It, it creates considerable concern.

10 WITNESS: Yes.

of --

JUDGE LUTON: Obviously that's why we're here. Tell
me again why, why would you --

13 WITNESS: I've, I've attempted to --

JUDGE LUTON: -- state there that something in fact

had happened when you knew that it hadn't?

WITNESS: I've attempted to relate that a couple of times to people. Let's assume that you're going to meet somebody Friday in Maryland, in, in Baltimore, but tomorrow morning you're going to send your car in to have the brakes repaired and you believe that the mechanic says, "Well, it will be ready by 5 o'clock," you can drive it Friday morning. So you tell your friend on the phone this afternoon, "Yes, I'll be there. I'm going to drive over," and, and that's in the nature of which that was reported. I had a re-expectation

1 JUDGE LUTON: But in that situation that you posit, 2 I wouldn't give a certification that what I'm saying is "true, 3 complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge," and yet in 4 this particular document filed with an agency of the United States government, and carrying that very certification, which 5 6 you accepted, namely that the statements are "true, complete, 7 and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, " you 8 nevertheless stated a matter which you knew to be untrue. 9 the expectation that it would become true at some later time, 10 perhaps, but at the time --11 WITNESS: Yes, I agree with --12 JUDGE LUTON: -- you made the statement you knew it 13 was incorrect. 14 I agree with you. I, I definitely WITNESS: 15 interpreted it differently at that time. 16 JUDGE LUTON: How? What was the different 17 interpretation you placed on it? That it was okay to 18 speculate, to certify a matter as having occurred when it, in 19 fact, had not then occurred? Was that your honest 20 understanding? 21 WITNESS: Not quite. 22 JUDGE LUTON: Well, tell me what it was, please. 23 This is an important matter.

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

that I was looking at the renewal process as being effective

My, my, my honest understanding is, is

24

25

WITNESS:

1	on the date of the expiration of the permit, and therefore
2	events which I believed sincerely would take place, I reported
3	them that way.
4	JUDGE LUTON: You reported them as having taken
5	place.
6	WITNESS: In retrospect, I, I realize I shouldn't
7	have done it that way.
8	JUDGE LUTON: And why do you think you shouldn't
9	have done it that way?
10	WITNESS: It, it, it is obviously not the best way
11	to approach that problem.
12	JUDGE LUTON: Also inconsistent
13	WITNESS: It, it confuses the issue for sure.
14	JUDGE LUTON: And it also runs afoul of the
15	certification
16	WITNESS: Um-hum.
17	JUDGE LUTON: that what you stated there is "true
18	and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief."
19	WITNESS: Yes, it would appear that is certainly
20	what is true.
21	JUDGE LUTON: Go ahead.
22	WITNESS: I won't do it again. Obviously.
23	BY MR. SHOOK:
24	Q Now, this document that we're looking at is signed
25	on the 16th of March and mailed shortly thereafter. How long

lafter this document was mailed did you come to the conclusion that you were not going to ship the power divider to Jampro? 2 It may have been Monday or Tuesday of the following 3 Α week just prior to shipment because we expected to have it 4 5 picked up on Monday, and I called them once again concerned 6 about the time frame probably trying to see if they couldn't 7 fit it in someplace and get it done a little quicker, because 8 the actual work that is necessary to modify this piece of 9 equipment takes maybe a half a day, and at the best a full day 10 if you consider extensive testing. So I could see no reason 11 why it should take 6 weeks for that to be performed, and if we 12 were pressed for time, as we obviously were, I found 6 weeks 13 for turnaround an absolutely intolerable situation and I 14 expressed my opinion to Mr. Cunningham out there, who was the 15 representative for Jampro at that time that I was dealing 16 So after that, I said, "Well, what can we do?" with. 17 know, I had already discussed with him before what was 18 necessary to modify it, so -- and being familiar with the 19 device I then set about to get the information from them to do 20 the modifications ourselves so we could get this thing on line 21 a lot sooner. 22 Because you never did ship the power divider to 23 Jampro, when, if ever, did at occur to you that you should

inform the Commission that the statement that you made here

24

25

was inaccurate?

Not until much later because it was an event that I 1 2 believe was lost in a lot more difficult ones. I think, in retrospect, someplace along the line we, we regretted the 3 entire incident. 4 Now, this morning I believe we talked about your 5 0 6 having picked the power divider sometime in mid-February --7 Yes. Α 8 0 -- from location in Melbourne? 9 Α Yes. 10 When was it that you actually ascertained from Q 11 Jampro that it was going to take 6 weeks, or you understood 12 that it was going to take 6 weeks to effect the kind of 13 repairs that you wanted to have done? 14 I believe that the first contact that we had with 15 them was shortly after we acquired the material, probably to 16 find out more what we could do to make the modifications, and 17 then Jampro more or less convinced us that we were better off 18 if we would just send it out to them. So in several more 19 discussions with them via telephone, that we agreed to send it 20 to them for the modification. 21 What was your understanding as to how much Jampro 0 22 was going to charge you to effect the modifications 23 They had a, a fixed price of \$1,500.00 at that time. I had just had another one rebuilt for WVUM a few months 24

before so I knew what the price was. And it was a, a

situation where we would just send them a check with the 2 order. Now, moving on to the next page, which has a heading 3 0 of Exhibit 2, it's true, is it not, that the only construction 4 and installation was that which occurred on January 20, 1988, 5 and that there had been no construction or installation of any 7 kind of February? 8 At the site, that's quite true. I can't make that 9 statement about the rest of the construction of the station 10 because I had ongoing construction with modules and so forth 11 that we were assembling for the remaining of the station at 12 various sites, those primarily being in Miami at our warehouse 13 there. 14 Well, are you saying, then, that the second sentence 15 of the first paragraph is accurate? 16 It is not 100 percent accurate but it is, it is 17 It, it's -- just let me read through it again to make 18 sure I'm saying what I'm saying here. That part of the 19 sentence is not true, no We had negated a certain amount of 20 that work and I had anticipated being back there within a few 21 weeks, and that didn't happen. 22 JUDGE LUTON: What part of that sentence is not 23 correct? 24 WITNESS: Okay, let me, let me go through it 25 explicitly. The excavation of the site for the tower,

1 | foundation, and anchors and tie-down points for the mobile

- 2 home that will serve as the studios, and offices, and housing
- 3 |were completed in the first part of February. The foundation
- 4 piece I had done. I had gone out there and attempted to put
- 5 | in the anchors at the anchor points and could not accomplish
- 6 that at the time. I went there first, and the location of the
- 7 mobile home, although we knew where we wanted to put it with
- 8 respect to the tower, the dimensions were not available to me
- 9 at that time for me to actually put down the anchors, although
- 10 I knew what I was going to put down. I figured that I would
- 11 come back in a few weeks and, and continue on. I'd have the
- 12 sufficient equipment to put in the other anchors and so forth
- 13 and then it didn't happen --
- JUDGE LUTON: Essentially, though, this sentence
- 15 just states --
- 16 WITNESS: But it, it's not true.
- 17 JUDGE LUTON: Essentially, this sentence just states
- 18 | a falsity.
- 19 WITNESS: Yes, it is.
- 20 JUDGE LUTON: And to, to try to parse it and say
- 21 | that "excavation for the tower foundation had been done but
- 22 | the rest of it hadn't been done" doesn't really get us very
- 23 far, I don't think.
- 24 WITNESS: That's quite true.
- JUDGE LUTON: Proceed.

1	BY MR. SHOOK:
2	Q Moving on to the next sentence, "The mobile home was
3	then brought to the site and modifications to its interior
4	were started to accommodate the studios, et cetera." When was
5	the mobile home supposed to have been brought to the site?
6	A It was supposed to be brought to the site in
7	mid-March.
8	JUDGE LUTON: When was it brought to the site?
9	WITNESS: The home, the mobile home that we were
10	supposed to get never reached the site.
11	JUDGE LUTON: Never reached the site. So then to
12	the extent that this language says "the mobile home was then
13	brought to the site" is untrue.
14	WITNESS: We, we believed it was in transit at the
15	time the application was being prepared, so it is untrue to
16	that extent.
17	JUDGE LUTON: That extent being that it was never
18	brought to the site.
19	WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20	JUDGE LUTON: Contrary to what this language says
21	that it was then brought to the site.
22	WITNESS: Yes, sir.
23	JUDGE LUTON: 100 percent false, not a little bit
24	false.
25	WITNESS: Yes, sir.

JUDGE LUTON: Go ahead. 1 2 BY MR. SHOOK: Now the next sentence, "Equipment is being installed 3 0 and tested in those areas that are complete." I take it that 4 you're trying to tell the Commission here that equipment is 5 6 being installed and tested in the mobile home, or is it being 7 installed and tested someplace else? 8 It was being installed and tested in, in whatever location was, was, at that time, adequate to do the work. If 9 10 it had been in the mobile home, we would have had it in the mobile home working on it. In the meantime, in lack of that, 11 we were working on it in the warehouse preparing the modules, 12 13 testing the equipment, and so forth, so it would be ready to 14 install. 15 Well, if you look at the prior sentence, the 0 16 suggestion is that certain modifications are being made to the 17 mobile home, and then if you look at the, the next sentence, 18 the sentence beginning with "equipment is being installed" the 19 suggestion, I would think, is that you're trying to tell the 20 Commission that equipment is being installed and tested in the 21 mobile home. 22 JUDGE LUTON: Which was never brought to the site. 23 That's quite true, however, you must admit WITNESS: 24 that the statement itself is a little more ambiguous than 25 that.

1	BY MR. SHOOK:
2	Q Well, because you're the author, please tell us then
3	what this statement is
4	A I, I, I was trying to relate the fact that we had
5	started working on the equipment. The details were not
6	presented because of writing this on the fly. And in
7	retrospect, I think I would have detailed that considerably
8	more but at the time I did not; but we were working on the
9	equipment. We had, we had most of the equipment on hand and,
LO	and if it wasn't in one warehouse in Melbourne it was in the
11	other warehouse in Miami, and the assembly of the, of the sub
L2	modules definitely were under construction.
13	Q Now, the next sentence, "The tower was delivered to
L 4	the site March 8." We know the tower was not delivered to the
15	site on March 8.
L6	A I know the tower was not delivered on March 8th.
L 7	Q And neither was the
18	A I believe it was not delivered on March 18th,
19	either.
20	Q Right. Now, when you wrote this sentence, to whom,
21	if anyone, had you spoken in order to confirm the delivery of
22	the tower?
23	A Considering the fact that I believe it was
24	delivered, or was supposed to be delivered after this was
25	written, I hadn't spoken to anyone specifically, outside the

|fact that I believed it was going to be delivered. 1 2 0 And in terms of "Erection is scheduled to start on 3 March 23," that's because you had an oral understanding 4 with --5 Α I had an understanding that it was going to be there and I had made arrangements for the tower crew to go up and start construction. So I appropriately filed an FAA 8 Commencement of Construction application. 9 0 Well, here you'll notice that the sentence has two 10 different time frames to it, one where the past tense is 11 clearly used, and the second clause where you're talking about 12 something that's going to take place in the future. 13 see that? 14 Α Um-hum. 15 Q You, you intended the Commission to have that 16 understanding, did you not? That one was an event that had 17 actually occurred and the second was an event which was going 18 to occur. 19 Α Yes, that's, that's what is there. I'm not entirely 20 sure that I intended it to mean that. 21 Well, if you --0 22 I don't always use the best choice of words. Α 23 Q If you did not intend to mean that, can you tell us 24 what you did intend to mean?

At this point I would say, I, I'm going to stick

25

Α

with what I had written here. I know that it now is not a course didn't happen, and I'm well aware of that but I'm going to stay with this because at the time --

JUDGE LUTON: I think that's wise. It would be very difficulty for you now to walk away from this, quite frankly --

7 WITNESS: Oh, absolutely, absolutely.

JUDGE LUTON: -- as its author and it does seem to
me to say exactly what has been suggested --

10 WITNESS: I, I agree with you.

JUDGE LUTON: -- by the Bureau.

BY MR. SHOOK:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Moving on to the next sentence, "The local power company has also been installing a service line to this site, and should be completed by the end of March." From whom did you gain that knowledge?

A I think at the time that, that we had gained the information about what it was going to cost us for the site. That's where I believed that was going to happen. You know, if they come to us and they, they tell us that we are going to have to pay so much for the installation and we strike an agreement as far as how to pay for this, I was left with the impression that they were going to proceed with the work.

JUDGE LUTON: But even so --

25 WITNESS: So I, I --

1 JUDGE LUTON: -- being left with an impression that 2 they were going to start with the work is to say one thing; to 3 say that they, meaning the local power company, has been 4 installing a service line, that is to say quite another. 5 WITNESS: It is --JUDGE LUTON: The two are not the same, are they? 6 7 WITNESS: I agree, I agree. So the question that Mr. Shook put to 8 JUDGE LUTON: 9 you was where did you gain the knowledge from -- my question 10 to you would be did you know for a fact when you wrote this 11 that the local power company had been installing a service 12 line to the site? Did you know --13 WITNESS: No, sir. 14 JUDGE LUTON: -- at the time? 15 WITNESS: No, sir. 16 JUDGE LUTON: All right, nevertheless you plainly 17 assert that the company had been installing a service line to 18 the site. Thank you. 19 BY MR. SHOOK: 20 O Moving on to the next page when -- the page that's 21 headed Exhibit 3, if you look at the second paragraph, that 22 first sentence, "Weather has played a minor role -- " we can 23 change the spelling, "in delays." Where did you gain any 24 knowledge or understanding that weather had played any role 25 whatsoever?

1	A I had, had subscriptions to both of the local
2	newspapers there, plus frequent conversations with the people
3	who live there, and at that time of the year spring storms are
4	a daily event, and I, I think it was made more in generality.
5	It probably again, it shouldn't have been there because it
6	was more of a general statement of observation, and I'm sure
7	that at the time because we were relating to other things that
8	had been delayed because of weather, I said, "Well, they're
9	having problems due to weather."
10	Q Well, isn't it a fact that you had knowledge
11	A I don't know that for a fact weather ever actually
12	delayed anything, but I have no knowledge to the fact that it
13	didn't.
14	JUDGE LUTON: Well, then maybe you should have just
15	left weather alone.
16	WITNESS: You're absolutely right.
17	JUDGE LUTON: But you didn't leave it alone.
18	WITNESS: I didn't.
19	JUDGE LUTON: Instead you used weather to make a
20	point that you didn't know was true or false, namely, "weather
21	has played a minor role in delays." You didn't really know
22	what you were talking about then, did you?
23	WITNESS: Um-hum.
24	JUDGE LUTON: But you wrote it nevertheless.
25	BY MR. SHOOK:

1	Q Now, after sending in Attachment 56 to the
2	Commission, who, if anyone, did you call in Chatom to confirm
3	whether or not the trailer had been delivered?
4	A I believe I had a conversation with Mr. Schell to
5	find out if they had received the trailer at the site, and
6	that he had been contacted and taken the trailer to the site.
7	Q Did you make this call to Mr. Schell?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Can you tell us approximately when you made this
10	call to Mr. Schell?
11	A It, it would have been possibly even from, from
12	Melbourne at the time when I was there, that week, because I
13	believe that was the week they were supposed to deliver it.
14	Q Roughly in the period of March 12 to March 20, so
15	A That's correct
16	Q probably around March 20, is that what you're
17	saying?
18	A That's correct, and I think on March, on March 20th,
19	we determined that it had not been delivered. They had
20	just had not it was a Monday, I think, when I talked to
21	him.
22	Q What did you do when you found out that the trailer
23	had not been delivered?
24	A I made several telephone call attempts to the dealer
25	who I had dealt with over there in Gulf Breeze trying to

- 1 |ascertain what had happened, and was unable to reach him.
- 2 Nobody answered the phone, and then finally after several days
- 3 I did reach another person who supposedly knew the dealer, who
- 4 explained to me that the trailer was unable to reach the site
- 5 for whatever his reason, primarily they claimed it came apart.
 - Q That was your understanding --
- 7 A That was --

11

12

- 8 Q -- of what happened?
- 9 A -- my understanding, and they knew nothing more than 10 that, that it was -- the trailer was not delivered.
 - Q So it would be fair to say that by the 1st of April you knew that there was no trailer at the site, nor was there any likelihood that a trailer would be at the site.
- A I knew that there was no trailer at the site. I did
 not know that there would not be one there. There were
 several trailers at this fellow's yard that would have been
 suitable. I would have thought at the time that if he
- 18 | couldn't deliver one because he was having trouble with it
- 19 falling apart, he could certainly take another one in there
- 20 and substitute it. We had that arrangement. I said, "One is
- 21 as good as the other; any one of those will work."
- Q You were never contacted by the dealer to pay for --
- 23 or there was no discussion --
- 24 A I --
- Q -- of payment relative to the trailer?

1 Α I never received anything else from that dealer ever 2 again. 3 0 You never had any further contact with that dealer. 4 I had one contact with an associate of his Α 5 apparently. I don't know whether --6 0 No, no, I mean the dealer himself. 7 The dealer himself, I never talked or heard from him Α 8 again. 9 So as of the 1st of April, you knew the trailer had 10 never made it to the site. You had not spoken to the dealer 11 himself. What was, what was the basis for your belief that a trailer would be delivered? 12 13 Α The conversation I had with his associate, I believe 14 I said, "Well, if you can't send one out there, can he get another one out there?" And there seemed to have been some 15 16 understanding that maybe there could be an alternative. 17 0 Now, in terms of this person being an associate, are you just guessing that the person was an associate? 18 19 Α He, he knew an awful lot about this fellow's 20 business, and what he was doing, and his activities, and so 21 forth, and I am assuming that maybe they were partners in the 22 business or had some relationship with each other. 23 obvious to me that he was not somebody who just picked up the 24 phone from along the street and said, "Well, you know, I'm walking by." I mean, he -- this fellow knew something about

 l^- | what was going on.

- Q All right, you acquired this knowledge from a long distance telephone call that you placed?
- A Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 5 Q From Miami.
- A From Miami, or it could have been -- I, I believe it
 was from Miami because it was on -- it was a Monday following,
 or the week following the Monday the, the week I was in
 Melbourne, and I think I would have done that from Miami.
 - Q Now, subsequent to your March 16 signing of the Attachment 56, who, if anyone, did you call in Chatom to confirm whether or not the tower was delivered?
 - A I acquired the knowledge from, I think, through Huggins, but I don't recall how. Because I thought he -- you know, in, in all this time I thought he was the one who had been the one receiving it and it was more of a surprise to me when I found out he said he wasn't, and we had kind of come to the conclusion that it was the fellow up at the motel instead. But somehow that knowledge came to me in course of conversation, that nothing had been delivered. You know, the, the correct one had not been delivered.
 - Q Now, on the bottom of page 25 and the top of page 26 of your testimony, it's -- you've got a pretty definite statement about recalling a conversation with Huggins. Did Huggins bill you for that call or that conversation?